UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/523,367	11/14/2005	Jeroen J. Crevecoeur	4662-306	1185
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 06/03/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	WOODWARD, ANA LUCRECIA		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/03/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/523,367	CREVECOEUR ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ana L. Woodward	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 Fe</u>	ehruary 2009				
•		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥,١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
· ·		e application				
•	Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-8 and 10-17</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-8 and 10-17</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☑ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
0)[are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) thation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) tr No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite			

Application/Control Number: 10/523,367 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's confirmed election of the species defined by claim 3, i.e., a copolymer of unsaturated dicarboxylic acid or derivative thereof and vinylaromatic monomer, in the reply filed on February 27, 2009 is acknowledged.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3. 5-8 and 10-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 02066558 in view of US 2003/0162900 (Joachimi et al) as per reasons of record.

WO '558 discloses an impact-modified composition comprising A) 5-95 wt.% polyamide, B) 5-95 wt.% acrylonitrile-rubber-styrene copolymer optionally comprising polyamide-compatibilizing functional groups, C) 0-30 wt.% one or more compatibilizing agents comprising polyamide-compatibilizing functional groups, D) 0-30 wt,% elastomer and E) 0.1-30 wt.% lamellar mineral particles. In Table I, the compositions additionally contain 1 wt.% of component F1) comprising lubricants, carbon black and nigrosine.

Although the amount of the individual ingredients of component F1) is not further specified, it is reasonably believed that said component would comprise at least 0.1 wt.% of each

of the carbon black and nigrosine materials. In any event, it is maintained that it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have employed the presently claimed amounts of carbon black and nigrosine in that said amounts are conventional, absent evidence of unusual or unexpected results.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed February 27, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants have amended the claims to clarify that both the carbon black and the branching agent are requisite components, as opposed to alternatives of each other.

Applicants' reliance on the experimental data per Table 2 has been noted but is not deemed probative of unexpected results. In this regard, the presence of unfixed variables is noted, e.g., different amounts of branching agent and nigrosine. Accordingly, it is unclear as to how the rheological behavior of examples I, II and III can be considered unexpected. Furthermore, it is noted that the showings are limited to a specific branching agent, whereas, the present claims are not so limited.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 10/523,367 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ana L. Woodward whose telephone number is (571) 272-1082. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ana L. Woodward/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796 Application/Control Number: 10/523,367

Art Unit: 1796

Page 5